

1 Yitai Hu (California admission pending/yhu@akingump.com)
2 Sean P. DeBruine (CSB No. 168071/sdebruine@akingump.com)
Elizabeth H. Rader (CSB No. 184963/erader@akingump.com)
S. H. Michael Kim (CSB No. 203491/mkim@akingump.com)
3 AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.
1950 University Avenue, Suite 505
4 East Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (415) 765-9500
5 Facsimile: (415) 765-9501

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

7

8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR
13 CORPORATION,

14 Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant,

15 v.

16 MARVELL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,

17 Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.

18

19

Case No. C04-4265 MMC

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR
MARVELL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.'S
MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
COSTS**

Date: September 30, 2005

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Courtroom: 7

Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

20

21

22 Plaintiff Realtek Semiconductor Corp. ("Realtek") and defendant Marvell Semiconductor,
23 Inc. ("MSI") hereby agree to modify the briefing schedule and noticed hearing date regarding
24 MSI's pending Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285. That motion is
25 currently noticed for hearing on September 30, 2005. The parties agree that Realtek's opposition
26 to that motion shall be filed and served on September 16, 2005, and MSI's reply brief shall be
27 filed and served on September 28, 2005.

28

1 Furthermore, the parties agree that the September 30, 2005 hearing date for that motion be
2 taken off calendar and re-noticed for hearing on October 14, 2005.
3
4

5 Dated: September 2, 2005

AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD,
LLP

7 By: _____ /s/
8 Sean P. DeBruine

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

11 Dated: September 2, 2005

FISH & RICHARDSON, PC

13 By: _____ /s/
14 David M. Barkan

15 Attorneys for Defendant
16 Marvell Semiconductor, Inc..

17 I hereby attest, pursuant to section X of General Order 45, that concurrence in the filing of
18 this document has been obtained from David M. Barkan.

19 Dated: September 2, 2005

By: _____ /s/
Sean P. DeBruine

21 IT IS SO ORDERED:

22 Dated: September 2, 2005



23 Hol Maxine M. Chesney
U.S. District Court Judge